

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/896,163 06/28/2001		/28/2001	Robert A. Jerdonek	020967-000220US	7419	
20350	7590	11/02/2005		EXAMINER		
TOWNSENI TWO EMBAR		OWNSEND AND CENTER	TRAN, ELLEN C			
EIGHTH FLO	OR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA	94111-3834	2134			

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		09/896,163	JE	JERDONEK, ROBERT A.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Ar	t Unit				
	•	Ellen C. Tran	21					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the corre	espondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D islons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COI 136(a). In no event, howev will apply and will expire S e, cause the application to	MMUNICATION.  er, may a reply be timely fi  IX (6) MONTHS from the management of the	led nailing date of this communication. 5 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 S	September 2005.						
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	, <del> </del>							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispo <b>sit</b>	on of Claims							
4) 🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	<del></del>							
6) 🛛	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	_							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
<b>1</b> 1)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ųnder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infori	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) <u> </u>	nterview Summary (PT0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Paten Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/896,163 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communication: filed on 19 September 2005 with an original application filed 28 June 2001, and continuing filing date of 17 January 2001.

2. Claims 1-10 and 12-21 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1, 8, and 15 are independent claims. Claims 1, 2, 8, and 21 have been amended.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 24 August 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument on page 14, "The elements of Claim 15 are not disclosed, suggested, or taught by Yatsukawa in view of Baskey or Chang ... Importantly, in Yatsukawa, the challenge from the verification server to the client system does not include "a network password that is inactive," as is recited above". The Office disagrees with argument. The 'inactive password' is contained within the 'authorization data' that is sent with the request message from the client see '404 (Yatsukawa) col. 20, lines 11-14. "In the example shown in FIG 16, it is a precondition that the sever obtains a public-key certificate of the client X at each log-in. In other words, the client sends the server, for instance, the public-key certificate CK<sub>px</sub> of the client X along with the authentication data" and see '404 col. 2, lines 21-67 "Entity authentication using knowledge is a technique for verifying authenticity of an entity by registering in advance, information necessary for authenticating the entity, and verifying the authenticity of the entity by whether or not the entity subjected to authentication known the information. The most well-known technique used in authenticating an individual is a "password," "code number" or information known to nobody but the authenticating person

(address, birthday or the like) ... One-time Password Scheme". The '404 reference uses the technique of One-time Passwords (OTP) and combines it with an Authentication Using Cipher see col. 3, line 55 through col. 4, line 43. The independent claims as written need lack any inventive step that is unknown, by amending the claims and calling attention to "inactive passwords" applicant is rewording known mechanisms of OTP and applying the same inventive steps as '404 which combines OTP with cipher techniques. Furthermore an inactive passwords being contained within the request message to an authentication server is a known technique with the use of one-time or temporary passwords see '082 (Chang) col. 7, lines 21-29 "Alternatively, if the AAA server 126 determines that the user identification information has expired, at block 318 the AAA server 126 communicates with password server 128 to determine whether the OTP is valid".

As to applicant's argument beginning on page 14, "The references also fail to disclose means for forming a digital signature in response to the network password received from the verification server and to the private key, and means for communicating the digital certificate and the digital signature to the authentication server". The Office disagrees with argument the forming of a digital signature in response to communication received from the verification server is shown in '404 (Yatsukawa) col. 19, lines 7 through col. 20, line 14 "The client-authentication-data file 105 comprises a file having configuration similar to that explained with reference to FIG. 14. More concretely, the inspection data file 105 stores identification name data X, inspection data D<sub>n-1</sub> and public-key certificate CK<sub>px</sub> ... The public Key K<sub>pc</sub> of the certification authority CA. The public key K<sub>pc</sub> is used to inspect the digital signature added to the public-key certificate of the client X ... In the example shown in FIG. 16, it is a precondition

Application/Control Number: 09/896,163 Page 4

Art Unit: 2134

that the server obtains a public-key certificate of the client X at each log-in. In other words, the client sends the server, for instance, the public-key certificate  $CK_{px}$  of the client X along with the authentication data".

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6-10 and 12-17, and 20, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yatsukawa U.S. Patent No. 6,148,404 (hereinafter '404) in further view of Baskey et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,732,269 (hereinafter '269).

As to independent claim 1, "A computer program product for a client computing system including a processor includes: code that directs the processor to request a challenge from an authentication server; code that directs the processor to receive the challenge from the authentication server" and "wherein the authentication server activates the identity code when the digital signature is verified, and wherein the codes reside on a tangible media" is taught in '404 col. 12, lines 39-67 "the present invention provides an authentication terminal apparatus for granting authentication to an authentication request sent by an authentication requester via a storage medium, in support of an external authentication server, comprising: a main body; and means for accepting a storage medium storing: seed data used for generating authentication data to authenticate an authentication requester, a secret key

of the authentication requester and a program for generating authentication data based on the seed data utilizing the secret key";

"wherein the challenge includes a at least a password that is inactive; code that directs the processor to receive user authentication data from a user; code that directs the processor to determine a private key and a digital certificate in response to the user authentication data; code that directs the processor to form a digital signature in response to the password that is inactive from the authentication server and the private key; code that directs the processor to communicate the digital signature to the authentication server; code that directs the processor to communicate the digital certificate to the authentication server, the digital certificate comprising a public key in an encrypted form; and code that directs the processor to communicate network user authentication data and the password that is inactive to the authentication server via a security server" is shown in '404 col. 20, lines 11-31 "In the example shown in FIG. 16, it is a precondition that the server obtains a public-key certificate of the client X at each log-in. In other words, the client sends the server, for instance, the public-key certificate CK<sub>px</sub> of the client X along with the authentication data. When the authentication processing program 104 at the server side receives a log-in message of a client X, the program 104 returns an authentication data request message to the client. When the program 14 receives authentication data transmitted by the client X in response to the message, the program 104 inspects a digital signature of the certification authority (CA), which is added to the public-key certificate of the client X, by utilizing a public key  $K_{pc}$  (stored in the file 107) of the CA. If the inspection result shows that the digital signature is authentic, the program 104 verifies that the public-key certificate is the authentic

public-key certificate of the client X. The public-key certificate  $CK_{.px}$  of the client X is stored in the inspection data file 105. The deciphering processing program 106 accesses the inspection data file 105 and derives the public key  $K_{px}$  of the client X included in the public-key certificate  $CK_{.sub.px}$ ";

the following is not taught in '404 "via a first secure communication channel" however '269 teaches "These and other objects of the present invention may be provided by methods, systems, and computer program products which communicate between client applications and a transaction server by establish a persistent secure connection between the transaction server and a Secure Socket Layer (SSL) proxy server" in col. 2, lines 21-40.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of '404 a method for authenticating users utilizing public/private key cryptography to include a means to utilize a secure socket layer. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to because as network communication improves a need exist to maintain with security communication standards available. As indicated by '269 (see col. 1, lines 13 et seq.) " In communications between a client and a server, it is often beneficial to provide increased security. One mechanism for providing increased security is through the use of the Secure Socket Layer (SSL) protocol. FIG. 1 illustrates a conventional SSL connection between a client 10 and a server 12. As seen in FIG. 1, the client 10 communicates directly with the server 12 utilizing the SSL connection".

As to dependent claim 2, "wherein the password that is inactive remains inactivate when the authentication server does not verify the digital signature" is disclosed in '404 col. 20, lines 11-31.

As to dependent claim 3, "wherein the security server comprises a server selected from a group consisting of: firewall server, VPN gateway server" is shown in '269 col. 5, lines 38-57 "other forms of secure connection may be utilized, such as, for example, a Virtual Private Network (VPN) tunnel, Internet Protocol Security (IPSEC)".

As to dependent claim 4, "wherein code that directs the processor to determine the private key and the digital certificate in response to the user authentication data comprises code that directs the processor to determine a private key associated with the user when the user authentication data is correct" is disclosed in '404 col. 20, lines 11-31.

As to dependent claim 6, "further comprising code that directs the processor to receive network user authentication data from the user" is taught in '404 col. 12, lines 39-67.

As to dependent claim 7, "wherein code that directs the processor to receive user authentication data from a user comprises code that directs the processor to receive user authentication data and the network authentication data from the user" is shown in '404 col. 12, lines 39-67.

As to independent claim 8, "A client computing system for communicating with a private server includes: a tangible memory configured to store a key wallet" is taught in '404 col. 12, lines 39-67

"the key wallet including a private key associated with the user and a digital certificate associated with a user, the private key and digital certificate stored in an encrypted form; a processor coupled to the tangible memory, the processor configured to receive a challenge from an authentication server" and "the challenge comprising a

password that is inactive, configured to receive user authentication data from the user, configured to determine a retrieved private key and a retrieved digital certificate from the key wallet in response to the user authentication data from the user; configured to form a digital signature in response to the password that is inactive from the authentication server and the retrieved private key, configured to communicate the digital signature to the authentication server, configured to communicate the digital certificate to the authentication server, and configured to communicate network user authentication data and the identity code to the authentication server via a security server, wherein the authentication server activates the identity code when the digital signature is verified, and wherein the security server allows the client computing system to communicate with the private server when the password that is inactive is activated" is shown in '404 col. 20, lines 11-31

the following is not taught in '404 "via a first secure communication channel" however '269 teaches "These and other objects of the present invention may be provided by methods, systems, and computer program products which communicate between client applications and a transaction server by establish a persistent secure connection between the transaction server and a Secure Socket Layer (SSL) proxy server" in col. 2, lines 21-40.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of '404 a method for authenticating users utilizing public/private key cryptography to include a means to utilize a secure socket layer. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to because as network communication improves a need exist to maintain with security communication standards

available. As indicated by '269 (see col. 1, lines 13 et seq.) " In communications between a client and a server, it is often beneficial to provide increased security. One mechanism for providing increased security is through the use of the Secure Socket Layer (SSL) protocol. FIG. 1 illustrates a conventional SSL connection between a client 10 and a server 12. As seen in FIG. 1, the client 10 communicates directly with the server 12 utilizing the SSL connection".

As to dependent claim 9, "wherein the retrieved private key and the private key associated with the user are identical" is taught in '404 col. 11, lines 40-50 "the authenticator deciphers the received authentication data sent by the requester by using a public key of the authentication requester, and compares the deciphered data with inspection data ... inspecting whether or not they are coincident".

As to dependent claim 10, "wherein the retrieved private key and the private key associated with the user are different, and wherein when the retrieved private key and the private key associated with the user are different the identity code remains inactive" is shown in '404 col. 18, lines 9-21 "Log-in is granted only when the deciphered data coincides with inspection data which has been stored at the authenticator's side. Accordingly, as long as, a client securely keeps his/her own secret key, a third person who has any or all) of the authentication data ... is unable to "masquerade" as the authentic client".

As to dependent claim 12, "wherein the security server comprises a server selected from a group of servers consisting of: firewall server, VPN gateway server, electronic mail server, web server, database server, database system, application server" is disclosed in '269 col. 5, lines 38-57.

As to dependent claim 13, "wherein the tangible memory can be removed from the client computer" is taught in '404 col. 20, lines 58-63 "Therefore, in the second modified example, the secret key K<sub>s</sub> is stored in an IC card instead of the client terminal, enabling the client X to carry around the IC card".

As to dependent claim 14, "wherein the processor is also configured to receive the network user authentication data from the user" is shown in '404 col. 12, lines 39-67.

As to independent claim 15, this claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claims 1 and 8; therefore it is rejected along the same rationale.

As to dependent claim 16 this claim is substantially similar to claim 9; therefore they are rejected along the same rationale.

As to dependent claim 17, wherein the means for determining a returned private key comprises means for determining the returned private key in response to the PIN from the user, and a pre-determined PIN, wherein when the PIN from the user and the pre-determined PIN are different, the returned private key is different from the private key associated with the user, wherein when the PIN from the user and the pre-determined PIN are the same, the returned private key is the private key associated with the user" is taught in '404 col. 21, lines 11-26 "First, when a user makes a log-in request (e.g. an IC card is read by a card reader which is not shown), the enciphering processing program 303 sends an authentication data request message (message requesting a password) to the client via the authentication processing program 308 of the terminal. If the user is an authentic user, a correct password is inputted from a keyboard (not shown) of the terminal. When the password is inputted, the program 308 sends the inputted password to the enciphering processing program

303 via interface. The enciphering processing program 303 compares the received password with a password stored in the password file 307. If the passwords do not coincide, the message indicating non-coincidence is returned to the authentication processing program 308, which then rejects the log-in request".

As to dependent claim 20, "wherein the client computing system is selected from a group of devices consisting of: desktop computer, portable computer, PDA, wireless device" is shown in '404 col. 21, lines 61-67 " More specifically, the system at the client side may be a general-purpose personal computer, and the personal computer may be used by persons other than the client X. In addition, any terminal can be used as the client's main apparatus as long as the terminal is capable of interfacing with an IC card. Accordingly, for instance, remote log-in or the like using a portable terminal is enabled from outside".

As to dependent claim 21, "wherein the password that is inactive is determined in the authentication serer, and wherein the password that is inactive is not stored on the client computer system before receiving the challenge from the authentication server" is disclosed in '404 col. 13, lines 30-33 "According to another aspect of the present invention, identification data of the authentication requester is used as an initial value of the first seed data".

6. Claims 18 and 19, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '404 in further view of '269 in further view of Chang et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,715,082 (hereinafter '082).

As to dependent claim 18, the following is not taught in the combination of '404 and '269: "further comprising means for receiving at least a network password associated with

the digital signature to the authentication server also comprise means for communicating the network password associated with the user to the authentication server" however '082 teaches "A method and apparatus for validating access to a network system is disclosed ... In response to entering the username and one-time password, a user authorization phase is performed to determine whether a session should be established for the particular user" in col. 4, lines 10-43".

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of '404 and '269 a method for authenticating users utilizing public/private key cryptography with SSL to include a means to authenticate a network password. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification because many computer networks have a desire to provide remote access. As indicated by '082 (see col. 1, lines 17 et seq.) "A network system generally includes a number of network devices, such as switches, routers, and other connected so as to allow communication among the devices ... Many companies have a desire to provide remote access to their computer networks. By allowing remote access, individuals can connect to the computer network to use it to work and obtain resource information while located at a remote site".

As to dependent claim 19, "wherein the means for communicating the digital certificate and the digital signature to the authentication server also comprise means for communicating a network password associated with the user to the authentication server; the client system further comprising means for determining the network password

associated with the user in response to at least the PIN from the user" is taught in '082 col. 4, lines 10-43".

7. Claim 5, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '404 in further view of '269 in further view of Arthan et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,782,103 (hereinafter '103).

As to dependent claim 5, the following is not taught in '404 and '269 "wherein code that directs the processor to determine the private key and the digital certificate in response to the user authentication data further comprises code that directs the processor to determine a private key not associated with the user when the user authentication data is incorrect" however '103 teaches "If a key becomes compromised, then good cryptographic practice dictates that operational use of that key be suspended. The key then needs to be changed so that business can proceed using new uncompromised key" in col. 3, lines 9-17.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of '404 and '269 a method for authenticating users utilizing public/private key cryptography with SSL to include a means to change private key when authentication is incorrect. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to because it is good practice to change keys when data becomes compromised. As indicated by '103 (see col. 1, lines 50 et seq.) "Good cryptographic practice requires all keys be changed at regular intervals, but if a key becomes compromised then it needs to be changed at other than the appropriate regular interval".

### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen C Tran whose telephone number is

(571) 272-3842. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory A Morse can be reached on (571) 272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ellen Tran
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2134
23 October 2005

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Dyan